

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•			
APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/816,598	·	04/05/2004	Fredrick I. Zink	ZFI-101-A	ZFI-101-A 9611		
21770	7590	09/03/2004		EXAMINER			
CHARLE 33150 SCH				COLLINS, DOLORES R			
LIVONIA,				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
ĺ				3712			
				DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/816,598	ZINK, FREDRICK I.	$\bigcirc$ N				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dolores R. Collins	3712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a look within the statutory minimum of thin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te. cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	A <i>pril 2002</i> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	e				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2)	_	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	,				

Art Unit: 3712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 & 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brumer (102).

Brumer discloses a Bowling Dice game.

### Regarding claims 1 & 2

Brumer teaches a plurality of six sided dice with bowling indicia thereon (see figure 1). Brumer fails to teach the specific indicia outlined in the limitations of this claim, however, he teaches that his device is capable of "many modifications in structure and design without departing from the spirit of the invention" (see col. 2, lines 19-22). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the design/indicia on the dice any shape or form since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed.

Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in

Art Unit: 3712

terms of patentability. *In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of indicia on the dice does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter e.g. bowling pins and the substrate e.g. a strike or spots, which is required for patentability.

**Art Unit: 3712** 

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Patentability has been found because the prior art fails to suggest or show the combination as set forth in the independent claim 3 including the specific method of play coupled with the order of dice manipulation. This requirement is not seen or fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 3712

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dolores R. Collins whose telephone number is (703) 308-8352. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Derris Banks* can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 30, 2004

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700